INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SPACE LAW AND POLICY
VOLUME 2 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2024
APIS – 3920 - 0014 *(and)* ISSN - 2584-1955 [IF SCORE – 7.58]
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

EXPLORING PROPERTY RIGHTS ON THE MOON AND OTHER CELESTIAL BODIES

AUTHORS - VIREN CHHABRIA & SARTHAK VASHISTH, STUDENT AT PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY

BEST CITATION - VIREN CHHABRIA & SARTHAK VASHISTH, EXPLORING PROPERTY RIGHTS ON THE MOON AND OTHER CELESTIAL BODIES, 2 (1) OF 2024, PG. 66-70, APIS – 3920 - 0014 & ISSN - 2584-1955.

INTRODUCTION

With the ever-expanding presence of humanity in space, property rights on celestial bodies are now an increasingly relevant topic. Private companies are now part of the exploration of the moon, and there are already plans for colonization of Mars. The need for well-defined legal frameworks for extra-terrestrial property rights has never been more important. This paper deconstructs the intricate mix of rights and wrongs in the realization of property rights on the Moon and other space bodies amid various international regimes, including current international law, proposed frameworks, and future developments.

Historical Context and Legal Framework

The foundation of space law regarding property rights was established during the Space Race era, primarily through the 1967 Outer Space Treaty (OST). This landmark agreement, formally known as the Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies, remains the cornerstone of international space law.

The OST explicitly prohibits national appropriation of celestial bodies; Article II states, "Outer space, including the Moon and other celestial bodies, is not subject to national appropriation by claim of sovereignty, by means of use or occupation, or by any other means." However, the wording of the treaty was concerned primarily with nations rather than with private entities, and there remains ambiguity that continues to fuel debate.

The 1979 Moon Agreement sought to put these issues into clearer perspective by proclaiming the Moon and its resources as the "common heritage of mankind." However, this agreement has been ratified by only a few countries. It has excluded the leading spacefaring nations, including the United States, Russia, and China, from being able to have practical effect on international space law.

Present Challenges in International Space Law

Private Sector Participation

This is one more set of complexities that private space companies have brought into the property rights discussion. The OST forbids nations from claiming sovereignty over celestial bodies, but does not say anything about private ownership. Companies like SpaceX, Blue Origin, and numerous lunar mining ventures are forcing the boundaries of these legal frameworks, requiring new interpretations and possibly new legislation.

Resource Extraction Rights

Resource extraction poses specific challenges to the question. The OST prohibits territorial claims but does not prohibit the extraction and use of space resources. As a result, there have been varying interpretations and national legislation, such as the U.S. Space Resource Exploration and Utilization Act of 2015, which grants American companies rights to resources they extract from celestial bodies.

Competing National Interests

Different countries have taken different approaches to space property rights, and conflicts may therefore arise. While some countries would advocate for more lenient frameworks that promote private sector

development, other countries believe that space resources should be controlled internationally by all human beings.

Case Studies of Space Ventures and Property Rights

Planetary Resources and Deep Space Industries

The early space mining companies' rise and fall can give a good insight into the challenges that arise from property rights. Planetary Resources, established in 2010, and Deep Space Industries, founded in 2013, sought to extract valuable resources from asteroids, but their experience highlighted significant issues in the absence of clear frameworks for international property rights and led to the acquisition or cessation of operations of these ventures.

Moon Express and Lunar Property Rights

Moon Express is one of the first private companies to receive U.S. government permission for a lunar mission. The company has faced numerous regulatory and legal challenges. Their experience illustrates the complexities of conducting commercial activities on the Moon while navigating international treaties and national regulations. Their approach to claiming usage rights for lunar resources, while avoiding territorial claims, represents a potential model for future commercial lunar activities.

Space and International Cooperation

Thus, property rights concerns were evident in Japanese company ispace missions as part of HAKUTO-R. Their approach, through partnerships with various countries and entities, epitomizes the ability of commercial players to work within existing frameworks while driving clearer property rights rules.

Artemis Accords Implementation

This development of space property rights is NASA's Artemis program and the associated Artemis Accords. The Accords try to be practical on guidelines for resource extraction and usage while remaining in compliance with the Outer Space Treaty. Early experiences in the implementation of such agreements provide insight into the challenges and opportunities regarding international cooperation in space resource utilization.

Comparative Analysis of National Space Legislation

6. **United States Approach**

United States -This country has been a forefront pioneer in the development of national space resource legislation. The 2015 Space Resource Exploration and Utilization Act specifically provides for private ownership of space resources after extraction. The law uses the approach described as "freedom of action, involving private sectors within the framework of international obligations in compliance with laws and regulations. Recent reforms of regulatory policy have streamlined the process for commercial space activity while maintaining oversight requirements.

7. **Luxembourg's Space Resources Initiative**

Luxembourg has positioned itself as a European hub for space resource activities through innovative legislation. The 2017 Law on the Exploration and Use of Space Resources was the first European legal framework recognizing private ownership of space resources. The legislation includes specific provisions for licensing and oversight of space resource activities, while emphasizing international cooperation and protecting private sector interests.

8. **United Arab Emirates Space Law**

The UAE's 2019 Space Law is an emerging approach that combines traditional Islamic law principles with modern space commerce. Their legislation addresses both space resource extraction and the establishment of private space facilities, demonstrating how new spacefaring nations can develop comprehensive legal frameworks.

9. Russian Federation Position

The approach of Russia on space resource rights is a more traditional interpretation of international space law. Current Russian legislation highlights state control over space activities with very limited private sector participation. In general, the Russian position favors international management of resources rather than national ownership and private rights to space resources.

10. Policies by China on Space Resources

China's evolving position on space resource rights reflects its growing role in space exploration. Chinese space law maintains strict government control over space activities while gradually opening opportunities for commercial participation. Recent policy statements suggest increasing acceptance of space resource utilization while emphasizing international cooperation.

Proposed Solutions and Frameworks

International Space Resource Registry

One of the proposed solutions includes setting up an international registry of space resources and activities, in the same way as satellite orbital slots are managed by the International Telecommunications Union. It will ensure coordinated resource use while avoiding territorial claims.

Limited Property Rights

Another approach suggests implementing limited property rights that grant exclusive use of specific areas for defined periods without conferring permanent ownership. This could balance the need for operational security with the principle of non-appropriation.

International Management System

Some scholars propose creating an international body to oversee and manage space resource utilization, ensuring equitable access while maintaining orderly development. This could function similarly to the International Seabed Authority's regulation of deep-sea mining.

Economic Implications

Establishing clear frameworks of property rights could spur the private investment of space exploration and development, including infrastructure construction, resource extraction facilities, as well as transportation systems. Huge economic benefits could be enjoyed from space resource utilization such that the distribution of their benefits to private companies supporting nations, the international community and future generations may be worthwhile.

Technical and Practical Issues

Property boundaries in space are unique in the challenges they present. It calls for new approaches in three-dimensional property limits, orbital mechanics, and buffer zones. The effective management of property rights is not possible without support infrastructure such as monitoring systems, communication networks, and emergency response capabilities. A framework must also make provisions for environmental protection and preservation of scientifically valuable sites.

Ethical Considerations

Intergenerational equity, cultural significance, and access rights are questions arising in the development of space resources. Preserving access to resources, maintaining environmental quality, protecting cultural and scientific heritage, and ensuring equitable access for developing nations and indigenous peoples are among the considerations.

Recommendations

4. Short-term Actions

Immediate priorities should include clarifying existing treaty interpretations, developing international guidelines, establishing coordination mechanisms, and creating dispute resolution procedures.

5. Medium-term Development

Registration systems, technical infrastructure, standard practices, and mechanisms for

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SPACE LAW AND POLICY
VOLUME 2 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2024
APIS – 3920 - 0014 *(and)* ISSN - 2584-1955 [IF SCORE – 7.58]
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

enforcement should be in place over the next ten years.

6. **Long-term Planning**

Permanent governance structures, comprehensive legal frameworks, sustainable infrastructure, and equal access should be established in more distant future plans.

Environmental Challenges and Conservation

The exploitation of celestial bodies poses unprecedented environmental challenges which have to be carefully considered in any property rights framework. While such challenges are far ahead of the traditional terrestrial environmental problems, they require innovative approaches for conservation and sustainable development. Scientific Sites Preservation

The Moon and other celestial bodies provide valuable scientific information about the evolution of the solar system. Such as the Apollo landing sites, which are both historic points and ongoing scientific resources. In fact, NASA's Lunar Historic Preservation Office has implemented guidelines for the preservation of these sites, declaring specific "keep-out zones" to be from 0.5 to 2 kilometers. These guidelines highlight how difficult it is practically speaking to balance commercial development against the need for scientific preservation.

Control of Contamination

This poses a grave threat to both scientific research and environmental preservation: the entry of Earth-based biological material. Scientists have been questioning SpaceX's Starship program on possible risks of contamination in landing missions on the moon. In this regard, the company has had to design highly detailed contamination control protocols while not losing sight of operational efficiency.

Orbital Debris Management

As the space activity commercialization trend continues to increase, concern regarding orbital debris grows. Satellite constellations already compelled China's space station, Tiangong, to conduct evasive maneuvers in avoiding orbiting debris. Property rights management must cover liability related to the formation and mitigation of debris with increasing lunar orbiting activities.

Environmental Impact Assessment

New approaches to environmental impact assessment are required in the development of lunar resources. The European Space Agency's Moon Village concept provides provisions for environmental monitoring and assessment and thus serves as a potential model for future developments. Studies of lunar dust behavior that have recently appeared point out the necessity for careful management of mining and construction activities to prevent wide-scale contamination.

Increased Ethical Considerations

5. **Indigenous Rights and Cultural Heritage**

Any property rights framework must respect traditional knowledge and cultural connections to celestial bodies. Native Hawaiian groups have voiced concerns that lunar development could interfere with cultural practices connected to Mauna Kea observatories. The same can be said for other indigenous peoples around the world, who retain cultural connections to the Moon and stars.

6. **Inter-generational Justice**

The concept of intergenerational justice assumes dimensions in space development. The Luxembourg Space Agency has adopted principles of intergenerational equity in its law for space resources, mandating companies to demonstrate long-term sustainability in their extraction plans. This proves to be an example of the practical implementation of ethical considerations into legal frameworks.

7. **Access Equity**

The allocation of benefits from space resources raises profound ethical considerations. The International Space Station's research capacity allocation illustrates the challenges as well as possibilities of managing shared space resources. Developing nations have called for

provisions on technology transfer similar to those included in the Law of the Sea Convention.

8. **Corporate Social Responsibility in Space**

Companies involved in space resource exploitation should develop new frameworks for corporate social responsibility. Blue Origin's Club for the Future program is an attempt at fulfilling these obligations through education. Still, critics argue that these companies need to do a lot more in terms of benefit-sharing.

Real-World Applications and Case Studies

5. **Lunar Water Rights**

The discovery of water ice in lunar polor regions has sparked practical debates about resource rights. The NASA VIPER mission scheduled for 2024 will map water ice deposits, and thus the question of how access rights to such crucial resources will be managed arises. Commercial companies such as Lunar Resources have already begun developing technologies for water extraction, so clear frameworks for resource allocation are needed.

6. **Asteroid Mining Ventures**

Trans Astronautica Corporation (TransAstra) experience offers insights on practical issues of space resource development. Demonstration of technologies related to asteroid mining have drawn attention towards technical feasibility and also the uncertainty of regulations that apply in such scenarios. Property rights acquisition through use-based claims is a novel model in this regard.

7. **International Space Station**

ISS commercial utilization policy provides examples on practical approaches in the management of shared space resources. Recent commercial modules by Axiom Space showcased integration of private sector activities with international frameworks, thus offering lesson learned for developing lunar property rights systems.

8. **Mars Society's MDRS Program**

The Mars Desert Research Station in Utah has provided practical insights into the challenges of establishing and managing extraterrestrial facilities. Their experience with managing research rights and facility access offers lessons for future lunar development. The program's international participation demonstrates the potential for collaborative approaches to space resource management.

Conclusion

The challenge of establishing property rights on celestial bodies requires balancing commercial development, scientific research, environmental protection, and ethical considerations. Real-world examples demonstrate both the urgency of developing comprehensive frameworks and the complexity of implementation. Success will require innovative approaches that incorporate lessons from terrestrial resource management while acknowledging the unique challenges of space development.

The experiences of early space resource ventures, combined with emerging environmental and ethical considerations, underscore the need for flexible yet robust property rights frameworks. As human activities in space continue to expand, the development of such frameworks becomes increasingly critical for ensuring sustainable and equitable space development for future generations.